Input specifications for He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods

Tony van der Weerden, Cecile de Klein, Robyn Dynes, Diana Selbie and Ronaldo Vibart

7 May 2021

Report for He Waka Eke Noa

Client Report Number RE450/2021/024



This report has been prepared for He Waka Eke Noa, and is confidential to He Waka Eke Noa and AgResearch Ltd. No part of this report may be copied, used, modified or disclosed by any means without their consent.

Every effort has been made to ensure this Report is accurate. However scientific research and development can involve extrapolation and interpretation of uncertain data and can produce uncertain results. Neither AgResearch Ltd nor any person involved in this Report shall be responsible for any error or omission in this Report or for any use of or reliance on this Report unless specifically agreed otherwise in writing. To the extent permitted by law, AgResearch Ltd excludes all liability in relation to this Report, whether under contract, tort (including negligence), equity, legislation or otherwise unless specifically agreed otherwise in writing.

Contents

| 1. | Background1 | | | | |
|-----|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Appro | Dach2 | | | |
| 3. | Input | Specifications per Greenhouse Gas source4 | | | |
| | 3.1 | Ruminants: Enteric CH ₄ 4 | | | |
| | 3.2 | Agricultural Soils – Urine: N ₂ O6 | | | |
| | 3.3 | Agricultural Soils – Dung: N ₂ O9 | | | |
| | 3.4 | Manure storage: CH ₄ 11 | | | |
| | 3.5 | Manure storage: N ₂ O13 | | | |
| | 3.6 | Agricultural Soils – Manure application: N ₂ O15 | | | |
| | 3.7 | Agricultural Soils – N fertiliser: N ₂ O17 | | | |
| | 3.8 | Urea Fertiliser application: CO ₂ from urea application to land19 | | | |
| | 3.9 | Summary of User Input data20 | | | |
| | 3.10 | Summary of Mitigations captured (relevant to Intermediate and Detailed only)22 | | | |
| Ref | erenc | es23 | | | |

1. Background

The He Waka Eke Noa Emissions Reporting workgroup recently considered three types of reporting methods for the mandatory reporting under a farm-level pricing mechanism by 2024. The three methods are (1) simple, (2) intermediate and (3) detail. Each of these were briefly described in the 'He Waka Eke Noa Emission Reporting Working Draft' as follows:

1. Simple

Key inputs only, e.g., livestock numbers, amount of nitrogen fertiliser applied, sequestration (as recommended by Sequestration workstream); annual time step; NZ specific average emissions factors, e.g., by class of stock, type of nitrogen fertiliser, type of forest; no mitigations.

2. Intermediate

More detailed inputs, e.g., livestock production; monthly time step; NZ specific average emissions factors; e.g., maintenance and milk production or liveweight; basic mitigations, e.g. efficiency accounted for.

3. Detailed

Multiple farm-specific inputs, e.g., livestock numbers, class, age, performance (milk solids, liveweight), and diet; monthly time step; use of NZ-specific emissions factors and farm-specific data to estimate dry matter intake; inclusion of full range of approved mitigations and sequestration methods, with potential for inclusion of additional approved mitigation and sequestration opportunities.

Before the Emissions Reporting workgroup can align existing models/tools with each of these three methods or developing a new tool to meet a specific method, the workgroup agreed further information and expansion of the input specifications of each method is required.

2. Approach

AgResearch scientists familiar with greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions inventory methodologies and farm-scale greenhouse gas reporting met to discuss the following:-

- Identify the key sources of greenhouse gas emissions to be captured in the methods
- For each key source, describe the required Output per method
- Provide details of the required Inputs to ensure each required Output could be achieved at the degree of detail considered satisfactory for the method
- For each key greenhouse gas source and each of the three reporting methods, align current and future greenhouse gas mitigation options
- Ensure required Inputs were able to capture the current and future mitigation options.

This information was developed following discussions between the authors and reference to documents describing greenhouse gas accounting methodologies (de Klein et al. 2019; Journeaux et al. 2021; Pickering et al. 2020; Vibart et al. 2021). The New Zealand agricultural greenhouse gas inventory provided a useful reference point for developing the input specifications for the three methods, as it can be considered as an intermediate method for livestock-based emissions (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Schematic overview of the approach adopted by the New Zealand agricultural greenhouse gas inventory for estimating methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from livestock production systems. Green boxes refer to enteric CH₄, orange boxes to manure CH₄, and blue boxes to N₂O. ME=metabolisable energy; MJ=mega joules; OMD=organic matter digestibility; VS=volatile solids; B₀=maximum CH₄ producing capacity of manure; MCF=CH₄ conversion factor; EF=emission factor. The efficiency of use of feed energy and protein modulate these fluxes. (source: Vibart et al. 2021).

Input specifications for He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart Input specifications for each key GHG source are provided in Section 3 as a series of tables, describing one source per table. Each table follows the same structure, with key features described below:

- Method Component: Output, Input or Mitigation information per Method
 - **Outputs**: outputs provided for each GHG source
 - **Inputs**: vary according to the GHG source, and according to the information required to achieve the stated Output
 - **Mitigations**: type of mitigations that can be used for each GHG source for simple, intermediate and detailed methods
- Source of input information:
 - **User** = individual operating the tool/model
 - **Database** = input obtained from information databases used by the tool/model
 - **Engine** = input/parameters estimated from equations/emission factors used by the tool/model.
- **Simple method**: Represents a basic method for estimating farm-scale GHG emissions. Could be considered as aligning with IPCC Tier 1 or simpler.
- Intermediate method: Represents a method that allows limited flexibility in representing farming practices that influence GHG emissions. Can capture some mitigation options. For many GHG sources, this method often aligns with New Zealand's agricultural inventory methodology.
- **Detailed method**: Represents a method that allows substantial flexibility in farming practices that influence GHG emissions. Can capture many mitigation options. For many GHG sources, this method often aligns with the more complex type of farm-scale GHG foot-printing models.
- **Notes**: points of clarification and assumptions

The He Waka Eke Noa Sequestration group are in the process of developing methods for capturing carbon (C) storage, therefore the specifications for emission reporting methods detailed in the current report may need to be aligned with C sequestration methods.

3. Input Specifications per Greenhouse Gas source

| Method | Source of | Method: | | | Notes |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|---|
| component | input information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| Output | | Enteric CH ₄ based on average emission per head of livestock | Enteric CH ₄ based on animal physiological status and level of farm production, and assumes a static ME content of diet | Enteric CH ₄ based on animal physiological status and level of farm production, feed type and month | |
| Inputs | | | | | |
| Number of animals | User | Total number by species (weighted annual average) | Animal numbers split by category (body weight, species and physiological status (i.e. maintenance vs lactation vs. growth)) | Animal numbers split by category (body weight, body weight changes, species, age and physiological status (i.e. maintenance vs lactation vs. growth)) | For simple, weighted annual average assumes a breeding female rearing a default number of offspring, no trading stock |
| Feed type eaten | User | Not required | Not required | Feed type per animal category | |
| Animal reproductive data | User | Not required | Lambing and calving percentage | Breeding date, pregnancy date, lambing percentage, culling date | |

3.1 Ruminants: Enteric CH₄

Input specifications for He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart 7 May 2021 Page **4** of **27**

| Method | Source of | Method: | | | Notes |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|-------|
| component | input information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| Animal production | User | Not required | Milk, meat and wool production per animal type and category | Milk, meat and wool production per animal type and category | |
| Feed information | Database | Not required | Weighted annual average feed quality (DM, ME, N content) | Monthly feed quality (DM, ME/digestibility, N content) of pasture and supplements | |
| Time step | Engine | Annual | Annual | Month | |
| Underlying calculations/ defaults | Engine/ Database | Default CH ₄ emissions per head of livestock type | Animal ME requirements from feeding standards (typically CSIRO 2007, 2012); default single diet DMI per animal type; default CH ₄ emissions per DMI per animal type; default reproductive data and lactation length | Animal ME requirements from feeding standards (typically CSIRO 2007, 2012); DMI per feed type (driven by DM digestibility, ME and N of feed); default CH ₄ emissions per kg DMI per feed type | |
| Mitigations | | | | | |
| Mitigations - current | | | | Low CH ₄ forages: Adjusted default for CH ₄ EF per kg DMI | |
| Mitigations - future proofing | | | | Low CH ₄ sheep, cattle: Adjusted default for CH ₄ EF per kg DMI* | |

* Includes CH₄ vaccines and inhibitors. Breeding values (BV) for low CH₄ sheep integrate the effect of low CH₄ per kg DMI and increased feed efficiency (less DMI per unit of production). The proposed method for capturing low CH₄ sheep in GHG calculations is to convert BV to an adjusted CH₄ emitted per DMI; in future, BV may be separated into an adjusted CH₄ and increased feed efficiency.

| Input specifications for | 7 May 2021 |
|---|----------------------------|
| He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods | Page 5 of 27 |
| van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart | |

3.2 Agricultural Soils – Urine: N₂O

| Method | Source of | Method: | | | Notes |
|----------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|
| component | input information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| Output | | N ₂ O emissions from urine deposition based on average emission per animal species. | N ₂ O emissions from urine deposition based on urine-N excreted following pasture grazing; grazing on different slope classes. | N ₂ O emissions from urine deposition based on urine-N excreted following diet of pasture/ forage crops/supplements; grazing on different slope classes. | * Soil and climate also affect N ₂ O EF and if algorithms are |
| | | | | Soil type and climate information (*). | developed detailed method could include this |
| Inputs | | | | | |
| Number of animals | User | Total number by species (weighted annual average) | Animal number split by category (body weight, species and physiological status (i.e., maintenance vs lactation vs. growth)) | Animal number split by category (body weight, body weight changes, species, age and physiological status (i.e. maintenance vs lactation vs. growth)) including time off paddock | |
| Farm location | User | Not required | Not required | Required (*) | *If the method includes an algorithm for estimating EF based on soil and climate |
| Topography | User | Not required (assumes flat) | Area of farm in different slope classes (flat/low | Area of farm in different slope classes (flat/low slope; medium/steep slopes) | |
| pecifications fo | r orting methods | and Vibart | | 7 May 2021 Page 6 of 27 | |

| Method | Source of | Method: | | | Notes |
|---|----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| component | input information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| | | | slope; medium/steep slopes) | | |
| Feed type eaten | User | Not required | Not required | Feed type per animal category | |
| Animal production | User | Not required | Milk, meat and wool production per animal type and category | Milk, meat and wool production per animal type and category | |
| Time step | Engine | Annual | Annual | Month | |
| Spatial scale | Engine | Farm | Farm | Block | |
| Soil data per | Database | Not required | Not required | Required (*) | * If the method |
| block | | | | Depends on prediction algorithm but could include e.g. organic C, bulk density, clay content. | includes an algorithm for estimating EF based on soil and climate |
| Climate data | Database | tabase Not required | Not required | Required (*) | * If the method |
| per farm | | | | Depends on prediction algorithm but could include e.g. monthly rainfall | includes an algorithm for estimating EF based on soil and climate |
| Feed information | Database | Not required | Weighted annual average feed quality (DM, ME, N content) (fixed values) | Monthly feed quality (DM, ME/digestibility, N content) of pasture and supplements | |
| Underlying calculations/ defaults | Engine/ Database | Default annual implied N ₂ O | Excreta-N excretion based on DMI, N content and N removed in | Excreta-N excretion based on DMI, dietary crude protein, and N in products. DMI per feed type | Indirect N ₂ O emissions occur following N |
| | | | | | |

Input specifications for He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart 7 May 2021 Page **7** of **27**

| Method | Source of | ce of Method: | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| component | input information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| | | emission per head of livestock type | products. DMI driven by DM digestibility or ME requirement (see enteric CH ₄); default N in products (milk, meat and wool); Excreta-N split between urine and dung based on default dietary N; Default proportion of Urine-N to manure management system and soil; Default annual direct and indirect N ₂ O emission per urine-N excreted | driven by DM digestibility or ME requirement (see enteric CH ₄); default N in products (milk, meat and wool); Excreta-N split between urine and dung based on dietary N; Proportion of Urine-N to manure management system and applied to soil based on 'time off paddock'; Default annual direct and indirect N ₂ O emission per urine-N excreted per forage type; nutrient transfer model to distributing urine-N across slope classes | leaching and ammonia volatilisation |
| Mitigations | | | | | |
| Mitigations - current | | | | Low N ₂ O forages: Adjusted monthly N excretion per animal type, age and sex; Adjusted monthly N leach | |
| Mitigations - future proofing | | | Nitrification inhibitors: Adjusted default for N ₂ O EF and N leaching | Nitrification inhibitors: Adjusted default for N ₂ O EF and N leaching per forage type: Adjusted monthly N leaching. | |
| | | | | Low N ₂ O cattle: Adjusted monthly N excretion | |

Input specifications for He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart

3.3 Agricultural Soils – Dung: N₂O

| Method | Source of input | urce of input Method: | | | Notes |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|--|---|-------|
| component | information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| Output | | N ₂ O emissions from dung deposition based on average emission per animal species. | N ₂ O emissions from dung deposition based on dung-N excreted following pasture grazing | N ₂ O emissions from dung deposition based on dung-N excreted following diet of pasture/ forage crops/supplements | |
| Inputs | | | | | |
| Number of animals | User | Total number by species (weighted annual average) | Animal number split by category (body weight, species and physiological status (i.e. maintenance vs lactation vs. growth)) | Animal number split by category (body weight, body weight changes, species, age and physiological status (i.e. maintenance vs lactation vs. growth)) including time off paddock | |
| Feed type eaten | User | Not required | Not required | Feed type per animal category | |
| Animal production | User | Not required | Milk, meat and wool production per animal type and category | Milk, meat and wool production per animal type and category | |
| Time step | Engine | Annual | Annual | Month | |
| Spatial scale | Engine | Farm | Farm | Block | |
| Soil data per block | Database | Not required | Not required | Not required | |

He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods

Page 9 of 27

van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart

| Method | Source of input | ource of input Method: | | | Notes |
|---|---------------------|---|---|---|--|
| component | information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| Climate data per farm | Database | Not required | Not required | Not required | |
| Topography | Engine | Not requires | Not required | Not required | |
| Underlying calculations/ defaults | Engine/ Database | Default annual implied (direct and indirect) N ₂ O emission per head of livestock type | Excreta-N excretion based on DMI, N content and N removed in products. DMI driven by DM digestibility or ME requirement (see enteric CH ₄); default N in products (milk, meat and wool); Excreta-N split between urine and dung based on default dietary N; Default proportion of Dung-N to manure management system and soil; Default annual direct and indirect N ₂ O emission per dung-N excreted | Excreta-N excretion based on DMI, dietary crude protein, and N in products. DMI per feed type driven by DM digestibility or ME requirement (see enteric CH ₄); default N in products (milk, meat and wool); Excreta-N split between urine and dung based on dietary N; Proportion of Dung-N to manure management system and soil; Default annual direct and indirect N ₂ O emission per dung-N excreted per forage type | Indirect N ₂ O emissions occur following N leaching and ammonia volatilisation |
| Mitigations | | | | | |
| Mitigations - future proofing | | | Nitrification inhibitors: Adjusted default for N ₂ O EF | Nitrification inhibitors: Adjusted default for N2O EF | |

Input specifications for He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart 7 May 2021 Page **10** of **27**

3.4 Manure storage: CH₄

| Method | Source of | Method: | | |
|---|----------------------|---|--|---|
| component | input information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed |
| Output | | Total CH ₄ emissions from stored effluent | Total CH₄ emissions from stored effluent | Total CH ₄ emissions from stored manures (effluent, solid manure, slurry) including time off paddock |
| Inputs | | | | |
| Number of animals | User | Total number by species (weighted annual average) | Total number by species (weighted annual average) | Animal number split by category (body weight, species, age and physiological status (i.e. maintenance vs lactation vs. growth)) including time off paddock |
| Feed type eaten | User | Not required | Not required | Feed type per animal category |
| Type and use of manure management system | User | Not required | Not required | Effluent, solid manure, slurry storage |
| Time step | Engine | Annual | Annual | Month |
| Spatial scale | Engine | Farm | Farm | Farm |
| Feed information | Database | Not required | Not required | Feed quality (DM, ME/digestibility) of pasture and supplements |
| Underlying calculations/ defaults | Engine/ Database | Default effluent volume per animal; default CH ₄ emission per m ³ effluent stored (annualised) | Default effluent volume per animal class; default CH ₄ emission per m ³ effluent | DMI per feed type driven by DM digestibility or ME requirements (see enteric CH ₄); default volatile solids (VS) from ash content, organic matter digestibility of feed types; default CH ₄ |
| pecifications for | | | 7 May 202 | 1 |

He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods

van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart

Page 11 of 27

| Method | Source of input information | Method: | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--|---|--|
| component | | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| | | | stored for a typical period of time (annualised) | emission of effluent/solid manure/slurry VS based on default Bo and methane conversion factor (MCF); Duration of storage (months) | |
| Mitigations | | | | | |
| Mitigations - future proofing | | | CH ₄ flaring to CO ₂ ; CH ₄ biogas harnessing for energy source: Adjusted default for amount of CH ₄ emitted. | CH ₄ flaring to CO ₂ ; CH ₄ biogas harnessing for energy source: Adjusted default for amount of CH ₄ emitted. | |

3.5 Manure storage: N₂O

| Method | Source of | Method: | Method: | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| component | input information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | | | |
| Output | | Total N ₂ O emissions from stored effluent | Total N ₂ O emissions from stored effluent | Total N ₂ O emissions from stored manures (effluent, solid manure, slurry) based on excreta production | | | |
| Inputs | | | | | | | |
| Number of animals | User | Total number by species (weighted annual average) | Total number by species (weighted annual average) | Animal number split by category (body weight, species, age and physiological status (i.e. maintenance vs lactation vs. growth)) including time off paddock | | | |
| Feed type eaten | User | Not required | Not required | Feed type per animal category | | | |
| Animal production | User | Not required | Milk, meat and wool production per animal type and category | Milk, meat and wool production per animal type and category | | | |
| Type and use of manure management system | User | Not required | Not required | Effluent, solid manure, slurry storage | | | |
| Time step | Engine | Annual | Annual | Month | | | |
| Spatial scale | Engine | Farm | Farm | Farm | | | |
| Feed information | Database | Not required | Not required | Feed quality (DM, ME/digestibility, N content) of pasture and supplements | | | |

| Method | Source of input information | Method: | Method: | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| component | | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | | | |
| Underlying calculations/ defaults | Engine/ Database | Default effluent volume per animal; default implied (direct and indirect) N ₂ O emission per m ³ effluent stored for a typical period of time (annualised) | Default effluent volume per animal class; default N content of effluent; separate default direct and indirect N ₂ O emission per N-effluent stored for a typical period of time (annualised) | Excreta-N excretion based on DMI, dietary crude protein, and N in products. DMI per feed type driven by DM digestibility or ME requirements (see enteric CH ₄); default N in products (milk, meat and wool); Excreta-N split between urine and dung based on dietary N; Proportion of Urine-N and Dung-N to manure management system (effluent, solid manure, slurry) based on grazing time; Default annual direct and indirect N ₂ O emission per N-effluent/solid manure/slurry stored per week; Duration of storage (months). | | | |
| Mitigations | | | | | | | |
| Mitigations - future proofing | | | Covered stores: Adjusted default for indirect (ammonia) loss | Covered stores: Adjusted default for indirect (ammonia) loss | | | |

3.6 Agricultural Soils – Manure application: N₂O

| Method | Source of | Method: | | | Notes |
|---|----------------------|--|---|---|---|
| component | input information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| Output | | Total N ₂ O emissions from effluent application | Total N ₂ O emissions from effluent application | N ₂ O emissions split by manure type (effluent, solid manure, slurry) | |
| Inputs | | | | | |
| Type of manure applied to land | User | Not required | Not required | Effluent, solid manure, slurry | |
| Manure application method | User | Not required (surface assumed) | Not required (surface assumed) | Surface or incorporation | Incorporation of manure reduces NH ₃ volatilisation: relevant for cropping |
| Time step | Engine | Annual | Annual | Month | |
| Spatial scale | Engine | Farm | Farm | Block | |
| Underlying calculations/ defaults | Engine/ Database | Default effluent volume per animal; default N content of effluent; default implied (direct and indirect) N ₂ O EF per kg effluent-N | Default effluent volume per animal class; default N content of effluent; default EF (separate direct and indirect N ₂ O) per kg effluent- N | N content of manure types calculated from N in manure storage, allowing for N processes (N transformation, emission) during storage; default EF (separate direct and indirect N ₂ O) per kg N per manure type | Indirect N ₂ O emissions occur following N leaching and ammonia volatilisation |

Input specifications for He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart 7 May 2021 Page **15** of **27**

| Method component | Source of input information | Method: Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | Notes |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|---|-------|
| Mitigations | | | | | |
| Mitigations - future proofing | | | Nitrification inhibitors: Adjusted default for N ₂ O EF and N leaching | Nitrification inhibitors: Adjusted default for N ₂ O EF and N leaching | |

3.7 Agricultural Soils – N fertiliser: N₂O

| Method component | Source of | Method: | | | Notes |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | input information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| Output | | Total N ₂ O emissions from total synthetic N use | N ₂ O emissions split by fertiliser type | N ₂ O emissions split by fertiliser type, summed across months and blocks | Detailed: if soil type and climate included, may be able to predict N ₂ O EF in future |
| Inputs | | | | | |
| Fertiliser Type | User | Total synthetic N (product tonnage) split into urea and non-urea | Total synthetic N (product tonnage) split into urea, non-urea, urea + urease inhibitor | Total synthetic N (product tonnage) split into urea, non- urea, urea + urease inhibitor | Detailed: captures different EF (direct N ₂ O) or FracGASF (indirect N ₂ O) values for the three fertiliser types |
| Application method | User | Surface assumed | Surface or incorporation | Surface or incorporation | Incorporation of urea reduces NH ₃ volatilisation: relevant for cropping |
| Location | User | Not required | Not required | Required (*) | * If the method includes an algorithm for estimating fertiliser EF based on soil and climate in near future |
| Time step | Engine | Annual | Annual | Monthly | |
| | Engine | Farm | Farm | Block | |

van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart

| Method | Source of | Method: | | | Notes | |
|---|----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| component | input information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | | |
| Soil data per | Database | Not required | Not required | Required (*) | * If the method | |
| block | | | | Depends on prediction algorithm but could include e.g. organic C, bulk density, clay content. | includes an algorithm for estimating fertiliser EF based on soil and climate | |
| Climate data | Database | Not required | Not required | Required (*) | * If the method | |
| per farm | | | | Depends on prediction algorithm but could include e.g. monthly rainfall | includes an algorithm for estimating fertiliser EF based on soil and climate | |
| Underlying calculations/ defaults | Engine/ Database | Default N per fertiliser type; Implied emission factor captures direct and indirect N ₂ O into single value, per tonne fertiliser type | Default N per fertiliser type; default EF (separate direct and indirect N ₂ O) per kg N as urea and non-urea | Default N per fertiliser type; default EF (separate direct and indirect N ₂ O) per kg N as urea and non-urea | Indirect N ₂ O emissions occur following N leaching and ammonia volatilisation | |
| Mitigations | | | | | | |
| Mitigations - current | | | Urea + urease inhibitor; Fertiliser incorporation: Adjusted default for indirect (ammonia) loss | Urea + urease inhibitor; Fertiliser incorporation: Adjusted default for indirect (ammonia) loss | | |
| Mitigations - future proofing | | | Nitrification inhibitors: Adjusted default for N ₂ O EF and N leaching | Nitrification inhibitors: Adjusted default for N ₂ O EF and N leaching | | |
| specifications for ka Eke Noa repo | r rting methods | | | 7 May 2021 Page 18 of 27 | | |

van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart

3.8 Urea Fertiliser application: CO₂ from urea application to land

| Method | Source of input | Method: | | | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|---|---|--|
| component | information | Simple | Intermediate | Detailed | |
| Output | | Total CO ₂ emissions from urea use | Total CO ₂ emissions from urea use | Total CO ₂ emissions from urea use | No difference between methods for this source, given it is a minor source |
| Inputs | | | | | |
| Fertiliser Type | User | Total Urea (product tonnage) | Total Urea (product tonnage) | Total Urea (product tonnage) | |
| Time step | Engine | Annual | Annual | Annual | |
| Spatial scale | Engine | Farm | Farm | Farm | |
| Application method | Engine | Surface assumed | Surface assumed | Surface assumed | |
| Underlying calculations/ defaults | Engine/ Database | CO ₂ EF per tonne urea | CO ₂ EF per tonne urea | CO ₂ EF per tonne urea | |

3.9 Summary of User Input data

| Method | Method: | Notes | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| component | Simple Intermediate (required on annual basis) | | Detailed (required on monthly basis) | | |
| Number of animals | Total number by species (weighted annual average) | Animal numbers split by category (body weight, species and physiological status (i.e. maintenance vs lactation vs. growth)) | Monthly animal number split by category (body weight, body weight changes, species, age and physiological status (i.e. maintenance vs lactation vs. growth)) including time off paddock | For simple, weighted annual average assumes a breeding female rearing a default number of offspring, no trading stock | |
| Feed type eaten | Not required | Not required | Feed type per animal category | | |
| Animal reproductive data | Not required | Lambing and calving percentage | Breeding date, pregnancy date, lambing percentage, culling date | | |
| Animal production | Not required | Milk, meat and wool production per animal type and category | Milk, meat and wool production per animal type and category | | |
| Farm location | Not required | Not required | Required (*) | *If the method includes an algorithm for estimating N ₂ O EF for urine and/or fertiliser based on soil and climate | |
| Topography | Not required (assumes flat) | Area of farm in different slope classes (flat/low slope; medium/steep slopes) | Area of farm in different slope classes (flat/low slope; medium/steep slopes) | | |
| Type of manure applied to land | Not required | Not required | Effluent, solid manure, slurry storage | | |

Input specifications for He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart 7 May 2021 Page **20** of **27**

| Method | Method: | | | Notes |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| component | Simple | Intermediate (required on annual basis) | Detailed (required on monthly basis) | |
| Manure application method | Not required (surface assumed) | Not required (surface assumed) | Surface or incorporation | Incorporation of manure reduces NH ₃ volatilisation: relevant for cropping |
| Fertiliser type | Total synthetic N (product tonnage) split into urea and non-urea | Total synthetic N (product tonnage) split into urea, non-urea, urea + urease inhibitor | Monthly total synthetic N (product tonnage) split into urea, non-urea, urea + urease inhibitor | Detailed: captures different EF (direct N ₂ O) or FracGASF (indirect N ₂ O) values for the three fertiliser types |
| Fertiliser application method | Surface assumed | Surface or incorporation | Surface or incorporation | Incorporation of urea reduces NH ₃ volatilisation: relevant for cropping |

| Input specifications for |
|---|
| He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods |
| van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart |

| 3.10Summary of Mitigation | s captured (relevant | to Intermediate and Detailed | only) |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------|
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------|

| Mitigation | | |
|-----------------|---|---|
| availability | Intermediate | Detailed |
| Current | | |
| | | Low CH4 forages: Adjusted default for CH4 EF per kg DMI |
| | | Low N ₂ O forages: Adjusted monthly N excretion per animal type, age and sex; Adjusted monthly N leach |
| | Urea + urease inhibitor; Fertiliser incorporation: Adjusted default for indirect (ammonia) loss | Urea + urease inhibitor; Fertiliser incorporation: Adjusted default for indirect (ammonia) loss |
| Future proofing | | |
| | | Low CH ₄ sheep, cattle: Adjusted default for CH ₄ EF per kg DMI* |
| | | Low N ₂ O cattle: Adjusted monthly N excretion |
| | Nitrification inhibitors: Adjusted default N ₂ O EF and annual N leaching for urine, dung, manure application and N fertiliser | Nitrification inhibitors: Adjusted default N ₂ O EF and monthly N leaching for urine and dung per forage type; and for manure application and N fertiliser |
| | Effluent ponds: CH ₄ flaring to CO ₂ ; CH ₄ biogas harnessing for energy source: Adjusted default for amount of CH ₄ emitted. | Effluent ponds: CH ₄ flaring to CO ₂ ; CH ₄ biogas harnessing for energy source: Adjusted default for amount of CH ₄ emitted. |
| | Covered manure stores: Adjusted default for indirect (ammonia) loss | Covered manure stores: Adjusted default for indirect (ammonia) loss |

* Includes CH₄ vaccines and inhibitors. Breeding values (BV) for low CH₄ sheep integrate the effect of low CH₄ per kg DMI and increased feed efficiency (less DMI per unit of production). The proposed method for capturing low CH₄ sheep in GHG calculations is to convert BV to an adjusted CH₄ emitted per DMI; in future, BV may be separated into an adjusted CH₄ and increased feed efficiency

Input specifications for He Waka Eke Noa reporting methods van der Weerden, de Klein, Dynes, Selbie and Vibart 7 May 2021 Page **22** of **27**

References

CSIRO 2007, Nutrient Requirements of Domesticated Ruminants. Australian Agricultural Council. Ruminants Sub Committee. CSIRO Publications, Victoria, Australia.

CSIRO 2012, Nutrient Requirements of Domesticated Ruminants. Australian Agricultural Council. Ruminants Sub Committee. CSIRO Publications, Victoria, Australia.

de Klein CAM, Rollo M, van der Weerden TJ. 2019. Assessment of tools for estimating on-farm GHG emissions – final report. Report for the Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington, New Zealand 29 Pages. https://www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/39092-assessment-of-tools-for-estimating-on-farm-ghg-emissions-pdf.

Journeaux P, van Reenan E, Batley L. 2021. Review of Models Calculating Farm Level GHG Emissions. AgFirst report prepared for He Waka Eke Noa.

Pickering A, Gibbs J, Wear S, Fick J, Tomlin H. 2020. Methodology for calculation of New Zealand's agricultural greenhouse gas emissions - Version 6. Revised for MPI by Joel Gibbs and Hazelle Tomlin. MPI Technical Paper.

Vibart, R., de Klein, C., Jonker, A., van der Weerden, T., Bannink, A., Bayat, A.R., Crompton, L., Durand, A., Eugène, M., Klumpp, K., Kuhla, B., Lanigan, G., Lund, P., Ramin, M., Salazar, F., 2021. Challenges and opportunities to capture dietary effects in on-farm greenhouse gas emissions models of ruminant systems. Science of the Total Environment 769.